### Data Evaluation Record on the Acute Toxicity of Hoe 099730 Technical to Algae,

Scenedesmus subspicatus

EPA MRID Number 48444813

**Data Requirement:** EPA DP Barcode 345709

EPA MRID 48444813 **EPA** Guideline 850.5400

Test material: Hoe 099730 Technical Purity: 92.4%; applied as an aqueous solution

with a concentration of 35.7%

Common name

Chemical name: IUPAC disodium L-2-acetamido-4-methylphosphinato-butyrate

CAS name CAS No.

Synonyms N-acetyl-glufosinate (NAG)

Primary Reviewer: Moncie Wright Signature: **Date:** 7/26/11 Staff Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Inc.

Moncie V Wright 5/11 Zen'S mynn **Signature: Secondary Reviewer:** Teri S. Myers Senior Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Inc. **Date:** 10/19/11

Signature: Colubin Primary Reviewer: Catherine Aubee **Date:** 1 June 2012 Biologist, US EPA/OPP/EFED/ERBIV

**EPA PC Code** 128850

**Date Evaluation Completed:** 01-06-2012

**CITATION:** Heusel, R. 1996. Hoe 099730 - substance, technical – Code: Hoe 099730 00 ZC92 0001): Effect to Scenedesmus subspicatus (green algae) in a growth inhibition test (method OECD). Unpublished study performed and sponsored by Hoechst Schering AgrEvo GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Study completed March 13, 1996.

EPA MRID Number 48444813

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

In a 72-hour acute toxicity study, cultures of *Scenedesmus subspicatus* were exposed to **Hoe 099730 Technical** (or NAG), a transformation product of the herbicide glufosinate-ammonium, under static conditions at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative control), 100, 180, 320, 560, and 1000 mg aqueous solution/L, which are equivalent to 0 (negative control), 35.7, 64.3, 114, 200, and 357 mg ai/L. Measured concentrations were only obtained for a low (35.7 mg ai/L), middle (114 mg ai/L), and high concentration (357 mg ai/L) and recoveries ranged from 86 to 118% of nominal. As a result, toxicity values in this study calculated by the reviewer were based on a combination of the corrected nominal concentrations and the available measured concentrations.

The most sensitive endpoint could not be determined due to a lack of toxicity in this study, resulting in overall NOAEC and  $EC_{50}$  values of 384 and >384 mg ai/L, respectively (based on measured concentration). The % growth inhibition of cell density in the treated algal culture as compared to the control ranged from -21 to 13%.

No phytotoxicity was reported.

This toxicity study is classified as scientifically sound and is classified as **supplemental**. Although consistent with OECD test guideline 201, it does not satisfy OCSPP guideline 850.5400 because the algae in controls had not reached the logarithmic growth phase by test termination and the light intensity was much higher than what is recommended in the OCSPP guideline. These deviations may affect the nature of the growth curve and the concentration-response, thereby limiting the utility of the information in EPA risk assessment. However, EFED is not requesting additional algal toxicity tests with glufosinate degradates at this time.

#### **Results Synopsi**

Test Organism: Scenedesmus subspicatus

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC<sub>50</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

Biomass

 $EC_{05}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A  $EC_{50}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

Growth rate

 $EC_{05}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A  $EC_{50}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Effected: None

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:**

The test procedure followed the guidelines of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), Guideline No. 201: Alga, Growth Inhibition Test (1984). The study methods and results were evaluated according to U.S. EPA OPPTS 850.5400: Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II and OECD No. 201, and differences and/or similarities were described. Two deficiencies and deviations from OPPTS 850.5400 and OECD 201 were noted:

- 1. The total organic carbon, particulate matter, metals, pesticides, and chlorine content of the dilution water were not determined.
- Analytical verification was not performed for all test concentration levels; OPPTS
  guidelines suggest that the concentration of the test material in the test vessels should be
  determined at the beginning and end of the test. OECD guidelines also recommend
  verifying the test concentrations at the beginning of the test and also verifying that those
  concentrations have been maintained during the test.
- 3. The test temperature ranged from 24.6 to 25.9°C; while the species tested is not included in either OPPTS or OECD guidelines, this temperature is still higher than recommended for similar algal species (OPPTS: 24°C and OECD: 21-24°C).
- 4. The physico-chemical properties of the test material were not reported; OECD guidelines suggest that this information be reported. OPPTS guidelines do not address this topic.
- 5. The pH of the control ranged from 7.9 to 9.9 and in the test solutions ranged from 7.6 to 9.9; OPPTS guidelines suggest a pH of  $7.5 \pm 0.2$  for similar algal species. Additionally, OECD guidelines suggest that the control pH not vary by more than 1.5 units.

The deficiencies and deviations do not substantively impact the scientific soundness of the study, but they may limit its utility in risk assessment.

**COMPLIANCE:** 

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality statements were provided. This study was conducted in compliance with the Principles of Good Laboratory Practice as set forth in Appendix II to OECD Council Decision C(81)30 of 12 May 1981, endorsed for use in the European Union by Directive 87/18 of 18 December 1986 and implemented at the national level as: Good Laboratory Practice, German Chemicals Act, §19a, Bundesgesetzblatt 1703-1732, 25 July 1994.

#### A. MATERIALS:

1. Test material Hoe 099730 technical

**Description:** Clear liquid

Page 3 of 20

EPA MRID Number 48444813

Lot No./Batch No.: Not reported

**Purity:** 92.4% w/w; supplied as an aqueous solution with a concentration of 35.7%

Stability of compound

under test conditions: Analytical verification was only performed for the low, middle, and high test

level solutions. At time 0, recoveries ranged from 86 to 114% of nominal concentrations. At 72 hours (test termination), recoveries ranged from 95 to 118% of nominal. The test material appeared to be stable under the test

onditions

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa,

Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

Storage conditions of

**test chemicals:** Not reported.

Physicochemical properties of Hoe 099730.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
рКа	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

#### 2. Test organism:

Name: Green algae; Scenedesmus subspicatus CHODAT

EPA requires a nonvascular species: For tier I testing, only one species, S. capricornutum, to be tested; for tier II testing, S. costatum, A. flos-aquae, S. capricorntum, and a freshwater diatom is tested.

OECD suggests the following species are considered suitable: S. capricornutum, S. subspicatus, and C. vulgaris. If other species are used, the strain should be reported

**Strain:** 86.81 SAG

**Source:** In-house cultures originally obtained from the Collection of Algal Cultures,

Institute of Plant Physiology, University of Gottingen, Gottingen, Germany

**Age of inoculum**: 3 days

Method of cultivation: Algae were cultivated in nutrient medium

#### **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

#### 1. Experimental Conditions

- a. Range-finding study A range-finding study was not conducted.
- b. Definitive Study

Table 1: Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Acclimation period:	Continuous	
Culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)	Same as test (dilution water, temperature, agitation, photoperiod, and light intensity)	EPA recommends two week acclimation period.
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported	OECD recommends an amount of algae suitable for the inoculation of test cultures and incubated under the conditions of the test and used when still exponentially growing, normally after an incubation period of about 3 days. When the algal cultures contain deformed or abnormal cells, they must be discarded.
Test system		
Static/static renewal  Renewal rate for static renewal	Static N/A	EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test).
Incubation facility	The test vessels were placed in a waterbath positioned on an electric shaker.	
Duration of the test	72 hours	
		EPA requires: 96-120 hours OECD: 72 hours
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel)	Glass	

EPA MRID Number 48444813

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Size: Fill volume:	300 mL 100 mL	OECD recommends 250 ml conical flasks are suitable when the volume of the test solution is 100 ml or use a culturing apparatus.
Details of growth medium name pH at test initiation:	7.8-7.9	Control pH: 7.9-9.9
pH at test initiation: pH at test termination: Chelator used: Carbon source: Salinity (for marine algae):	8.5-9.9 Yes NaHCO <sub>3</sub> N/A	OECD recommends the medium pH after equilibration with air is ~8 with less than .001 mmol/l of chelator if used.
		EPA recommends 20X-AAP and chelating agents (e.g. EDTA) in the nutrient medium for optimum cell growth. Lower concentrations of chelating agents (down to one-third of the normal concentration recommended for AAP medium) may be used in the nutrient medium used for test solution preparation if it is suspected that the chelator will interact with the test material. ASTM reference, E1415-91and D 3978-80 (reapproved 1987).
If non-standard nutrient medium was used, detailed composition provided (Yes/No)	Yes	

Parameter Details		Remarks
		Criteria
Dilution water source/type: pH: salinity (for marine algae): water pretreatment (if any):	Deionized water 8.0 N/A Filtered by ultrafiltration, ion	The deionized water was used to create reagent grade water that was used to prepare the algal medium.  EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum= ~8.0
Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine:	exchange, and a charcoal unit Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported	Others = ~7.5 from beginning to end of the test. EPA salinity: 30-35 ppt. EPA is against the use of dechlorinated water.  OECD: pH is measured at beginning of the test and at 72 hours, it should not normally deviate by more than one unit during the test.
Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution)	The test material (0.1 mg) was dissolved in nutrient medium to create a primary stock solution. The solution was shaken well and defined amounts were pipetted proportionally into graduated cylinders. The flasks were then filled up to 90 mL with nutrient medium. Pre-culture (0.53 mL) was added to the vessels, which were then filled up to 100 mL with nutrient medium.	
Aeration or agitation	Agitation; 100 rpm	
Initial cells density	1 x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL	
		EPA requires an initial number of 3,000 - 10,000 cells/mL. For Anabaena flosaquae, cell counts on day 2 are not required.
		OECD recommends that the initial cell concentration be approximately 10,000 cells/ml for <u>S</u> . <u>capricornutum</u> and <u>S</u> . <u>subspicatus</u> . When other species are used the biomass should be comparable.

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Number of replicates Control: Solvent control: Treatments:	6 N/A 3	EPA requires a negative and/or solvent control with 3 or more replicates per doses. Navicula sp.tests should be conducted with four replicate.  OECD preferably three replicates at each test concentration and ideally twice that number of controls. When a vehicle is used to solubilize the test substance, additional controls containing the vehicle at the highest
Test concentrations Nominal (unadjusted for purity):	0 (negative control), 100, 180, 320, 560, and 1000 mg aqueous solution/L	concentration used in the test.  EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations, with each at least 60%
Nominal (adjusted for purity):	0 (negative control), 35.7, 64.3, 114, 200, and 357 mg ai/L	of the next higher one.  OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric
Measured:	<loq (<2.50,="" (high)="" (low),="" (medium),="" 099730="" 100.2="" 30.1="" 384.5="" and="" control),="" d="" enantiomers="" hoe="" l="" l<="" mg="" of="" sum="" td=""><td>series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.</td></loq>	series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A	
Method and interval of analytical verification	Samples from low, medium, and high test levels and the control were analyzed via HPLC with UV detection (202 nm). Fortification samples, and matrix and solvent blanks were analyzed concurrently.	

EPA MRID Number 48444813

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Test conditions Temperature: Photoperiod: Light intensity and quality:	24.6-25.9°C Continuous 159 μE·m <sup>-2</sup> ·s <sup>-1</sup> Wide spectrum fluorescent lamps of universal white-type L25	EPA temperature: Skeletonema: 20EC, Others: 24-25EC; EPA photoperiod: S. costatum 14 hr light/ 10 hr dark, Others: Continuous; EPA light: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%), Others: 4 - 5 Klux (±15%)  OECD recommended the temperature in the range of 21 to25°C maintained at ± 2°C and continuous uniform illumination provided at approximately 8000 Lux measured with a spherical collector.
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:	N/A	
Other parameters, if any	None	

#### 2. Observations:

**Table 2: Observation parameters** 

Parameters	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Parameters measured including the growth inhibition/other toxicity symptoms	- Cell density - Biomass - Growth rate	EPA recommends the growth of the algae expressed as the cell count per mL, biomass per volume, or degree of growth as determined by spectrophotometric means.

EPA MRID Number 48444813

Parameters Details		Remarks
Measurement technique for cell density and other end points	Cell density was determined using counting chambers (Schreck, Hofheim, Germany) and a microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The study author did not report how biomass and growth rate values were calculated.	EPA recommends the measurement technique of cell counts or chlorophyll a  OECD recommends the electronic particle counter, microscope with counting chamber, fluorimeter, spectrophotometer, and colorimeter. (note: in order to provide useful measurements at low cell concentrations when using a spectrophotometer, it may be necessary to use cuvettes with a light path of at least 4 cm).
Observation intervals	Every 24 hours.	EPA and OECD: every 24 hours.
Other observations, if any	None.	,
Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control	Yes; cell density was 206 x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL at 72 hours.	EPA requires control cell count at termination to be □2X initial count or by a factor of at least 16 during the test.  OECD: cell concentration in control cultures should have increased by a factor of at least 16 within three days.
Were raw data included?	Yes.	

#### **II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:**

#### A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

At 72 hours, cell density in the negative control averaged  $206 \times 10^4$  cells/mL, which yielded inhibitions of -11, -21, 13, -5, and -5% as compared to the control. An EC<sub>50</sub> value was not calculated for this endpoint.

EPA MRID Number 48444813

At 72 hours, biomass in the negative control averaged 3853 x  $10^4$  cells/mL\*h, yielding inhibitions of -5, -10, 14, -4, and -6% as compared to the control. The 72-hour EC<sub>50</sub> value was >1000 mg ai/L (concentrations unadjusted for % purity of test solution) or >357 mg ai/L (adjusted for purity).

At 72 hours, the growth rate in the negative control averaged 0.078 hours-1, yielding inhibitions of -1, -1, 2, -1, and-1%. The 72-hour EC<sub>50</sub> value was >1000 mg ai/L (concentrations unadjusted for % purity of test solution) or >357 mg ai/L (adjusted for purity).

The overall NOAEC value, based on the level at which no significant growth inhibition and no cell deformation was observed, was 1000 mg test substance/mL, which corresponded to 357 mg ai/L.

No phytotoxic effects were observed.

Table 3: Effect of Hoe 099730 Technical on algal growth of Scenedesmus subspicatus.

	Initial cell		Cell density (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL) at			
Nominal	Nominal Density (x 10 <sup>4</sup>	24 hours	48 hours	7	72 hours	
mg ai/L	cells/mL)			cell count	% inhibition	
Negative control	1.0	3.6	56.3	206	N/A	
35.7	1.0	4.1	51.9	229	-11	
64.3	1.0	5.0	49.1	250	-21	
114	1.0	2.9	48.1	180	13	
200	1.0	3.5	57.4	217	-5	
357	1.0	4.2	60	217	-5	
Reference chemical (if used)	N/A					

Table 4: Effect of Hoe 099730 Technical on algal growth (Scenedesmus subspicatus).

Treatment Nominal	Initial Cell Density (x10 <sup>4</sup>	Mean Growth Rate (hours <sup>-1</sup> )  0-72 Hours Percent Inhibition		Mean Biomass (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL*h)	
mg ai/L	cells/mL)			0-72 hours	Percent Inhibition
Negative control	1.0	0.0780	N/A	3853	N/A
35.7	1.0	0.0786	-1	4034	-5
64.3	1.0	0.0787	-1	4239	-10
114	1.0	0.0766	2	3321	14
200	1.0	0.0789	-1	4004	-4
357	1.0	0.0784	-1	4090	-6

Table 5: Statistical endpoint values.\*

Statistical Endpoint	Cell density	Growth rate	Biomass
NOAEC or EC <sub>05</sub> (mg ai/L)	ND	357	357
EC <sub>50</sub> (mg ai/L) (95% C.I.)	ND	>357	>357
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub>	N/A		

<sup>\*</sup> Do not use this table, if the study was deemed unacceptable.

#### **B. REPORTED STATISTICS:**

The EC<sub>50</sub> values for biomass and growth rate could not be determined due to a lack of an inhibitory effect of  $\geq$ 50%. The cell density data were not analyzed. The NOAEC was determined using ANOVA and General Linear Models in SAS via Duncan's Multiple Range Test Procedures. Nominal concentrations were used for analysis.

#### C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: The reviewer tested cell density and biomass replicate data for normality using the Chi-square and Shapiro Wilk's tests and for homogeneity of variance using Levene's test in Toxstat 3.5. All endpoint data met the assumptions of ANOVA, and were thus analyzed using the Bonferroni t-test and Williams' tests to determine the NOAEC. The toxicity values for growth rate data were visually determined due to inhibitions that were <5%. The ECx values (with 95% C.I.) and probit slope could not be determined due to a lack of an inhibitory effect of  $\ge50\%$ .

All toxicity values were determined using a combination of the available measured concentrations and the 72-hour nominal concentrations adjusted for the percent purity (for the concentrations that were not analytically determined). Cell density values were entered into Toxstat 3.5 as an abbreviated value, representing the value x  $10^4$ .

#### Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC<sub>50</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

#### Biomass

 $EC_{05}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A  $EC_{50}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

#### Growth rate

 $EC_{05}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A  $EC_{50}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Effected: None

#### D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

The total organic carbon, particulate matter, metals, pesticides, and chlorine content of the dilution water were not determined.

Analytical verification was not performed for all test levels.

#### **E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:**

The reviewer's and the study author's results were in complete agreement. However, the reviewer analyzed cell density, while the study author did not attempt statistical analysis. Therefore, the reviewer's results are presented in the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections of this DER.

The experiment was started February 8, 1994, and was terminated February 25, 1994.

#### F. CONCLUSIONS:

This toxicity study is classified as scientifically sound and is classified as **supplemental**. Although consistent with OECD test guideline 201, it does not satisfy OCSPP guideline 850.5400 because the algae in controls had not reached the logarithmic growth phase by test termination and the light intensity was much higher than what is recommended in the OCSPP guideline. These deviations may affect the nature of the growth curve and the concentration-response, thereby limiting the utility of the information in EPA risk assessment. However, EFED is not requesting additional algal toxicity tests with glufosinate degradates at this time. The most sensitive endpoint could not be determined due to a lack of toxicity in this study, resulting in overall NOAEC and EC<sub>50</sub> values of 384 and >384 mg ai/L, respectively.

#### Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC<sub>50</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

#### **Biomass**

 $EC_{05}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A  $EC_{50}$ : >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

#### Growth rate

EC<sub>05</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC<sub>50</sub>: >384 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 384 mg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Effected: None

#### **III. REFERENCES:**

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1984. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals. Guideline No. 201: Alga, Growth Inhibition Test. 07 June 1984.

Kuhl, A. and Lorenzen, H., 1964. Handling and Culturing of Chlorella, in Methods of Cell Physiology, Vol. I, New York – London, pp. 159-187.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision J, Hazard Evaluation: Nontarget Plants.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1983. Toxic Substances Control; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR Part 792). Fed. Reg., Vol. 48, No. 230, Nov. 23, 1983, pp. 53922-53944.

SAS Institute Inc., 1989, Release 6.07 TS 305. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina 27511.

Microsoft EXCEL, 1993. Frontline Systems, Inc., P.O. Box 4288, Incline Village, Nevada 89450-4288.

EPA MRID Number 48444813

APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION: Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L File: 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality D = 11714.7267W = 0.9255Critical W = 0.8730 (alpha = 0.01 , N = 21) W = 0.9080 (alpha = 0.05, N = 21)Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis. Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L File: 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance ANOVA Table SS MS SOURCE 5 1275.9857 255.1971 0.6756 Between Within (Error) 15 5665.9467 377.7298 6941.9324 Total (p-value = 0.6484)Critical F = 4.5556 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,15) = 2.9013 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,15) Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.01)Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: ANOVA Table DF SS MS 5 8498.1914 1699.6383 2.1763 Between

#### EPA MRID Number 48444813

Within (Error)	15	11714.7267	780.9818
Total	20	20212.9181	
			(p-value = 0.1117)

Critical F = 4.5556 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,15) = 2.9013 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,15)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L

File: 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Во	nferroni t-Test -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Contro	l <treatme< th=""><th>nt</th></treatme<>	nt
GROUP 0.05	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	t STAT	SIG
1 2 3 4 5	Neg control 30.1 64.3 100 200 384	206.2333 229.2000 250.0000 179.7333 216.8000 217.3333	206.2333 229.2000 250.0000 179.7333 216.8000 217.3333	-1.1622 -2.2148 1.3410 -0.5347 -0.5617	

Bonferroni t critical value = 2.6025 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 5,15)

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L  $\,$ 

File: 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	Bonferroni t-Test -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho: Contro	1 <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROU	P IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	Neg control	6 3	51.4272	24.9	-22.9667
3	64.3	3	51.4272	24.9	-43.7667
4 5	100 200	3 3	51.4272 51.4272	24.9 24.9	26.5000 -10.5667
6	384	3	51.4272	24.9	-11.1000

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L File: 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

EPA MRID Number 48444813

	William's Test - TAB	Ho: Control <treat< th=""><th>ment</th></treat<>	ment		
			ORIGINAL	TRANSFORMED	ISOTONIZED
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N 	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6	Neg control 30.1 64.3 100 200 384	6 3 3 3 3	206.2333 229.2000 250.0000 179.7333 216.8000 217.3333	206.2333 229.2000 250.0000 179.7333 216.8000 217.3333	222.9167 222.9167 222.9167 204.6222 204.6222 204.6222

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr cell density; mg ai/L File: 4813c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

William's Tes	t - TABLE 2	2 OF 2	Но:	Control <treat< th=""><th>tment</th></treat<>	tment
IDENTIFICATION	COMPARED MEANS	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG 0.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM USED
Neg control	206.2333				
30.1	222.9167	-0.8443		1.7500	k = 1, v = 15
64.3	222.9167	-0.8443		1.8400	k = 2, $v = 15$
100	204.6222	0.0815		1.8700	k= 3, v=15
200	204.6222	0.0815		1.8800	k = 4, $v = 15$
384	204.6222	0.0815		1.8900	k = 5, $v = 15$

s = 27.9461

WARNING: Procedure has used isotonized means which differ from original (transformed) means.

```
Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L
```

4813b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File:

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

D = 2347990.1667W = 0.9855

Critical W = 0.8730 (alpha = 0.01 , N = 21) W = 0.9080 (alpha = 0.05, N = 21)

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

EPA MRID Number 48444813

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L File: 4813b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### ANOVA Table

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	331642.9762	66328.5952	1.3484
Within (Error)	15	737864.8333	49190.9889	
Total	20	1069507.8095		
	<del></del> -		/ 1	0 0070

(p-value = 0.2978)

Critical F = 4.5556 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,15) = 2.9013 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,15)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.01)

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L

File: 4813b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

### ANOVA Table

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	1555042.9764	311008.5953	1.9869
Within (Error)	15	2347990.1665	156532.6778	
Total	20	3903033.1429		
			p-value)	= 0.1392)

Critical F = 4.5556 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,15) = 2.9013 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,15)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L

File: 4813b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bonferroni t-Test - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho: Control<Treatment

Page 18 of 20

EPA MRID Number 48444813

GROUP 0.05	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	t STAT	SIG
1	Neg control	3853.1667	3853.1667		
2	30.1	4034.3333	4034.3333	-0.6476	
3	64.3	4239.0000	4239.0000	-1.3792	
4	100	3321.0000	3321.0000	1.9022	
5	200	4004.0000	4004.0000	-0.5392	
6	384	4090.3333	4090.3333	-0.8477	

Bonferroni t critical value = 2.6025 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 5,15)

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L File: 4813b Transform:

NO TRANSFORMATION

	Bonferroni t-Test -	TABLE 2	? OF 2	Ho: Contro	1 <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROU	P IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	Neg control	6			
2	30.1	3	728.0731	18.9	-181.1667
3	64.3	3	728.0731	18.9	-385.8333
4	100	3	728.0731	18.9	532.1667
5	200	3	728.0731	18.9	-150.8333
6	384	3	728.0731	18.9	-237.1667

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L File: 4813b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	William's Test - TAB	LE 1	OF 2	Ho:	Control <treat< th=""><th>ment</th></treat<>	ment
			ORIGINAL		TRANSFORMED	ISOTONIZED
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	_	MEAN	MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	Neg control 30.1 64.3 100 200 384	6 3 3 3 3	3853.1667 4034.3333 4239.0000 3321.0000 4004.0000 4090.3333		3853.1667 4034.3333 4239.0000 3321.0000 4004.0000 4090.3333	3994.9167 3994.9167 3994.9167 3805.1111 3805.1111

Title: Hoe 099730 & S. subspicatus 72-hr biomass; mg ai/L

EPA MRID Number 48444813

File:	481	.3b	Transfo	rm:	NO	TRANSFORMATION
V	William's Tes	st - TABLE 2	OF 2	Но:	Control <trea< td=""><td>tment</td></trea<>	tment
IDENT	IFICATION	COMPARED MEANS	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG 0.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM USED
	Neg control 30.1 64.3 100 200 384	3994.9167 3994.9167 3805.1111	-0.5067 -0.5067 0.1718 0.1718 0.1718		1.7500 1.8400 1.8700 1.8800 1.8900	k= 1, v=15 k= 2, v=15 k= 3, v=15 k= 4, v=15 k= 5, v=15

s = 395.6421

WARNING: Procedure has used isotonized means which differ from original (transformed) means.